

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – 13 April 2017
Annual Petition Summary Report

Date Range: 1st April, 2016 to 31st March, 2017

19 - Paper Petitions

4 - ePetitions

Paper Petition Title	Signatures	Date Responded / Response
<p>16-02 - Hawker Court - New Communal Lighting</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>14th Apr 2016 The lights were installed at Hawker Court on 2nd & 3rd March, 2016 and since that time Property Services has not received any complaints, telephone calls, emails or enquiries regarding the lighting; therefore this Petition is the first opportunity Property Services has had to respond and resolve these issues.</p> <p>Technically there is no actual fault with the lights, it is just that they may need minor adjustments to the programming either by altering the level that the lights dim or alter the sensitivity of the inbuilt sensors. Lighting (as with heating) can be subjective in that everybody's perception can be different and what may work for one block, may not for another. We can advise that the installation contractor, Wiggintons, attended site on 13th April, 2016 and spoke with a resident who mentioned these concerns to him and the lighting was reprogrammed. Had we been made aware of residents' concerns at the time of installation the lighting could have been adjusted several weeks ago.</p> <p>The Project Manager, has also telephoned a resident and agreed to attend the site which, given the light evenings at this time of year, will need to be after 8.30pm one evening so they can be tested in darkness. If necessary any further adjustments can then be investigated.</p> <p>On Sunday 17th April at 9.45pm the Project Manager attended Hawker Court to assess the external and lighting and the lighting in the communal areas. He was only able to gain access to 2 of the blocks and all the internal lights were working, but he concluded that the sensors on the external lights were very sluggish and did not alter to intensity until he was close to the building. The sensitivity of the sensors therefore need adjusting so they come on as people approach from further away.</p> <p>Following this an instruction was given to the lighting contractor and we can confirm that a Thorlux Engineer will attend this site on Wednesday 20th April to check and programme the lights.</p> <p>We trust this is a suitable and satisfactory response to your Petition.</p>
<p>16-03 - Double Yellow Lines on Marlborough Road</p>	<p>17</p>	<p>12th May 2016 Thank you for the paper petition submitted on Friday 15th April, 2016 requesting double yellow lines on Marlborough Road.</p> <p>Marlborough Road has not been reviewed for the Pavement Parking Scheme, therefore designs</p>

		<p>for proposed restrictions have not yet been drafted. The Pavement Parking Scheme will be introduced across the entire borough in the coming years, and is being rolled out ward by ward. We have introduced the scheme in the Central Ward only at present, and we are currently in the process of reviewing 4 further wards, Elliman, Chalvey, Wexham and Baylis & Stoke Wards.</p> <p>The effect of this scheme is to introduce a ban on parking on the pavements and verges, unless a specified parking bay has been painted for vehicles to do so. The scheme will be designed to national design standards to promote the free movement of pedestrians on the pavement, and vehicles on the carriageway. Double yellow lines will be placed on all junctions, bends and any area where parked vehicles hinder access or visibility.</p> <p>We have logged this request and we will consider this when Upton Ward is reviewed. We will write to all residents in the ward, and consult with Ward Councillors when the scheme is due to be implemented in this area.</p>
<p>16-04 – College Avenue Parking Permit Request</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>17th May 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council is pleased to advise that we can consider this request. The Pavement Parking scheme is due to be introduced in the Chalvey Ward next year, letters were sent to all residents informing them about this in September 2015, and we can include this request and implement a residents permit scheme on College Avenue in the final designs for the area.</p> <p>Letters will be sent to all residents in the Chalvey Ward again before the Pavement Parking Scheme is due to be introduced with information about how to view plans for the area. In addition to this, letters will be sent to residents of College Avenue with information about how to purchase permits. Details about costs of permits and visitor vouchers are available at the following link: http://www.slough.gov.uk/parking-travel-and-roads/parking-permits.aspx</p>
<p>16-05 - Herschel Village Parking Conditions</p>	<p>230</p>	<p>18th May 2016 I will start with some background about the Pavement Parking Scheme. Complaints are received throughout the Borough about vehicles parked on pavements, causing an obstruction and forcing pedestrians to walk in the road. This is even more of a concern for vulnerable road users such as the disabled, children and the elderly, as this type of parking is dangerous and can cause serious accidents. This Scheme is being rolled out across the borough to ensure that pavements are protected for their primary purpose, the safe passage of pedestrians. As part of the scheme, bays will be marked on streets where pavements are wide enough for vehicles to be parked half on the pavement and half on the road. Unless otherwise stated, these bays will be unrestricted. Where there are no restrictions and no parking bays, parking will be permitted fully on the carriageway only, and not be permitted on the pavement.</p> <p>Junctions and bends were also identified for the implementation of double yellow line restrictions. This is to ensure that visibility and access is maintained at all junctions and bends where parking would cause an obstruction, especially for large vehicles. Yellow lines have also been proposed at locations where the available space on the carriage and footway is not wide enough to allow parking on both sides of the road.</p>

We have considered and responded to each point raised below:

No introduction of double yellow lines, except as detailed below to address specific trouble spots: The purpose of the introduction of double yellow lines has been explained above, however we have decided to retain some of the single yellow lines on some streets, final plans will be available for residents to view before the scheme is implemented.

Chapel Street - as parking/waiting obstructs residents from accessing their parking spaces. A barrier at each end only activated by residents is alternative option
Double yellow lines have already been proposed along this road and these were included in the plans circulated in September. We cannot install barriers as Chapel Street is public highway.

Alpha Street North corners with Herschel Street & towards St Lawrence roundabout (corners only)
Double yellow lines have already been approved and are in the process of being implemented under a permanent Order, which we consulted on between December 2015 and January 2016.

Middle section Park Street (between Osborne & Herschel Streets). In addition, move the parking bay in this section to the other side of the road to increase the number of parking spaces
The relocation of the bays to the other side of the road will only increase the parking spaces by not more than 2 spaces since we have to provide access into Bisham Court and turning space for refuse vehicles near Bembridge Court .

Continued acceptance of 'two wheels on' pavement parking down one side of each road overnight
The purpose of this has been explained above

The Council should reintroduce the '1 hour free & free on Sundays' scheme for its car parks, encourage the shopping centre owners to do the same & refresh its car parks to encourage people to use them
Charges in Slough Borough Council car parks were reduced last year. Major refurbishments were also undertaken in the multi storey car parks, for which we now have gained Park Mark accreditation. Unfortunately we cannot influence the maintenance of or charges in private car parks.

All pay & display bays in Zone B to be available free to residents with parking permits
Additional residents permit parking bays have been created in some of the existing pay & display bays on Hatfield Road and St Laurence Way. We cannot create any further spaces as the available space must be fairly distributed for all users of the town centre.

Car park at the corner of Alpha/Herschel St. available free to residents with parking permits
This is a temporary car park which is subject to redevelopment; therefore we cannot change the use of this car park. The car park is currently free of charge after 6pm.

		<p>New flats built in the area to have sufficient parking (including visitors) & no rights to residents parking permits</p> <p>Under the Slough Local Plan Parking standards (as set out in Slough Developers Guide Part 3 - http://www.slough.gov.uk/business/planning-and-building-control/developers-guide-and-the-community-infrastructure-levy.aspx) new residential developments in the town centre or in shopping areas require a minimum of nil spaces. However, Slough Borough Council actively encourages all developers to provide parking to meet the needs of the development. Where developers do not provide parking or only a limited amount of parking, then either through legal agreements signed between the developer and the Council or as part of a planning condition related to the development it is stated that residents of the new development will not be eligible to receive on-street parking permits in any existing or future residents parking scheme.</p> <p>Better enforcement of existing regulations on Herschel Street & Park Street North The town centre is patrolled regularly by Civil Enforcement Officers. Unfortunately we cannot have officers in all areas at all times, however ant vehicles parked in contravention of a restriction can be reported to our Control Room on 01753 875631.</p>
<p>16-06 - Darvills Lane Parking Issues</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>19th May 2016</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to discuss the range of problems in the Darvills Lane garage area with local residents and forwarding their concerns and suggestions for consideration. This is an area of Chalvey that we are aware has a range of issues and the Housing Officer for the area has been working with colleagues in the Neighbourhood Enforcement Team to try to resolve some of the issues raised, specifically the litter and rubbish being dumped and ASB caused by people congregating in the area.</p> <p>However, the work done so far has only addressed issues in the short term and I and my team would like to take this opportunity to work with the residents who have signed the petition to agree on a longer term solution. We would like to consider the 3 options presented by residents, but there may be other options we can consider too.</p> <p>I am proposing that Officers from the South Neighbourhood contact you to agree a way of us working with residents over the next few months to agree a long-term solution to these issues. Initially this will include a site visit where we can all discuss the problems around this location but will then require a number of community meetings with a range of other council teams and probably other organisations like Thames Valley Police.</p> <p>I look forward to working with you and local residents over the coming months to find a long term solution to the problems being experienced.</p>
<p>16-07 - Third Crescent Parking Issues</p>	<p>11</p>	<p>1st Jun 2016</p> <p>Thank you for the submission of the above paper petition. Unfortunately we do not have a record of the correspondence dated 27th May 2015.</p> <p>Third Crescent is public highway that is currently unrestricted; this means that any vehicle is permitted to park here without time limits. However, we have noted your concerns regarding</p>

		<p>obstructive parking and the repair of vehicles on the public highway and have considered your request for parking controls.</p> <p>There is not sufficient capacity or adequate carriageway width to allow for a resident permit scheme, therefore we cannot consider this option. We have placed Third Crescent on our waiting list to propose double yellow lines on both sides of the road at the entrance. Once the list is reviewed, approval will be sought to carry out a formal public consultation of the proposal, and we will decide on how best to proceed based on the results of the consultation.</p> <p>With reference to the repair of vehicles and litter, this was referred to our Neighbourhood Enforcement Team to investigate. The team have advised that in such instances, they can visit the area to assess the situation, and will arrange visits at different times to Third Crescent within their available resources. It would be helpful to have feedback as to when the working on vehicles takes place so that visits can be arranged to observe and speak to the vehicle owner/mechanic. Any feedback can be given by calling 01753 875255 or by using the online reporting forms at www.slough.gov.uk. Please note that if the vehicles are parked legally and the activity being undertaken is legal, there may be little action we can take. In relation to litter being left, unless there is sufficient evidence and proof of who is creating this it is difficult to pursue, but this will be assessed at the visits.</p> <p>I hope that this response has addressed your concerns.</p>
<p>16-08 - Closure and Relocation of Serena Hall</p>	<p>35</p>	<p>15th Jun 2016 Thank you for your emailed petition received by the Council in May 2016.</p> <p>I can confirm that the matters you and your neighbours have raised are currently being investigated and I understand that the investigating case officer, has spoken to you directly giving you an update of what is being done.</p> <p>I must make it clear that the Council does not have the authority to close SHOC as per the request of the petition. However I can confirm that the Council with its partner agencies will review the options available to address issues where there is clear evidence to show that something needs to be done.</p> <p>If you wish to discuss this matter with me further please feel free to do so.</p>
<p>16-09 - Ryvers Road Parking Provision</p>	<p>19</p>	<p>13th Jun 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>The double yellow lines recently introduced have been placed on an area of the public highway where parked vehicles were causing an obstruction to access and visibility when traversing this section. The lines have been placed on the public carriageway, and not in a designated parking area. Although residents have had the benefit of using this area for the purpose of parking vehicles, this cannot be guaranteed or relied upon.</p> <p>The demand for space on the public highway has changed considerably, there are many more</p>

		<p>cars on the roads now than in previous years and unfortunately not all drivers park considerately. The primary purpose of the public highway is the safe passage of vehicles on the carriageway and pedestrians on the pavements, and in order to maintain road safety and the movement of traffic, restrictions have become necessary in some locations.</p> <p>The restrictions have been placed at the bend only, leaving the majority of the road unrestricted. It is a vehicle owner's responsibility to find a suitable place to park, and to consider alternatives should the public highway become restricted. Unfortunately a resident does not gain any personal right to park on the public highway because they live in that street or because they have lived there for a number of years.</p> <p>A public notice advertising the proposal was printed in the local press and displayed at the location, the notices included details of where plans of the proposals could be viewed and how to make any representations. The formal consultation period ran from 18/12/2015 until 22/01/2016, and I can confirm that the process was carried out in accordance with the statutory requirements.</p> <p>With reference to your request to convert grass verges on Ryvers Road into parking spaces, our Housing Services Team are currently considering possible options to try to assist, and will contact you with the outcome as soon as possible</p>
16-10 - Winvale Residential Parking	17	<p>17th Jun 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council is pleased to advise that this request has been placed on our waiting list along with other requests for new and amended parking restrictions around the borough. When the list is reviewed, we will investigate the request by carrying out site visits to assess the area and consider factors such as, capacity against potential numbers of permits and any road safety implications. Some parts of Winvale are managed by our Housing Department so we will also liaise with them. We will then carry out a formal public consultation of the proposal, and a decision will be made on how best to proceed based on the results of the consultation.</p> <p>If a scheme is appropriate and we gain approval to carry out a formal consultation, a public notice will be placed in the local press and displayed on street with details of how to view plans and make representations. We will also write to any resident directly affected by the proposed restrictions. Unfortunately we cannot provide timescales at present, and must advise that the process to introduce new restrictions can be a lengthy one due to the legal process involved.</p>
16-11 - Proposal for CCTV on Merton Road	54	<p>7th Jul 2016 In June 2016, a petition was received from residents in Merton Road asking for CCTV to be put up due to crime and anti- social behaviour.</p> <p>CCTV cameras are installed within areas of the Borough where crime and disorder and community safety priorities exist. This is done by a bidding system that the Police (working in partnership with the Council and other partners) use if they have an area they believe is a hot spot for crime and disorder.</p>

		<p>In order to determine if an area is suitable for a camera, the Police and Council will look at how many reports of crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder have been received. If the numbers do reflect the problems, then a camera is considered, but as a last resort – this is because your local neighbourhood policing team and Slough Borough Council will look at all other options they can put in place to deal with the nuisance before a camera is considered.</p> <p>Over the past 12 months, Slough Borough Council have received 6 reports of various anti-social behaviour on Merton Road. Over the same 12 months, the Police have received 7 calls to report various crime and anti-social behaviour and 5 crime reports from residents in Merton Road.</p> <p>Having monitored Merton Road due to previous requests and petitions made by residents, and collated data from the Police and Council on how many reports of crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder have been received for the past 12 months in Merton Road, there is unfortunately insufficient evidence of crime and/or anti-social behaviour to justify a CCTV camera in Merton Road at this stage.</p> <p>Residents can however put up private CCTV on their properties, as you have - provided it only covers the bounds of their property. However, the rules regarding how you use CCTV to protect your home have been updated (2015). If your CCTV system is capturing footage of individuals outside your property, then you will no longer be exempt from the Data Protection Act. You can find further information on the Council's website http://www.slough.gov.uk/crime-prevention-and-emergencies/cctv.aspx</p> <p>However, regardless of whether your CCTV system is exempt, the Information Commissioners Office [ICO] recommends that you use CCTV in a responsible way to protect the privacy of others. More information on residents installing their own private CCTV can be found on the Information Commissioners website https://ico.org.uk/for-the-public/cctv/.</p> <p>Merton Road remains on the patrol plans for Police, who will be patrolling the area on a regular basis in order to deter any future incidents of crime and/or anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>Please continue to report any criminal activity to the Police by calling the new non-emergency number 101. If a crime is in progress or a person is in immediate danger the emergency services should be alerted by calling 999. Anti-social behaviour can be reported to the Council's Anti-Social Behaviour hotline on 01753 875298</p>
<p>16-12 - Stowe Road – Parking and Litter Issues</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>16th Aug 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>We are sorry that residents are experiencing these problems on Stowe Road, I have reported these to the various teams and they have provided the following responses:</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Enforcement Team is currently in contact with Sports Direct due to the litter and rats at the back of their building. The land owner has been served with a notice under Section 4 of the Prevention of Damage by Pests Act 1949 requiring that they clear the litter, and arrange</p>

		<p>and undertake effective pest control treatment to eradicate the rats. Neighbourhood Enforcement will be checking the site to ensure steps have been taken.</p> <p>Regular visits are also being made to Stowe Road to see the amount of litter there is, where it is, and to establish where it is coming from. After gathering evidence, Neighbourhood Enforcement will look at taking enforcement action where possible. You can contact Neighbourhood Enforcement on 01753 875255 if you have any further concerns</p> <p>With reference to speeding vehicles, there is currently a speed table at the bend which slows vehicles down at this point, however the Integrated Transport Team have requested for some speed surveys to be carried out, and a report of the findings and any available solutions will be sent out directly to you.</p> <p>I have considered the request for parking controls, any scheme that we consider would have to include Mason Road to avoid displaced parking to this area, for this reason and due to the limited number of signatories on this petition, the Parking Team will first carry out an informal survey of all residents of both roads in the coming weeks. The survey will include different options for restrictions and also give residents an opportunity to make suggestions; we will then make a decision on how best to proceed based on the results.</p>
<p>16-13 - Proposal of Closure of Elliman Resource Unit</p>	<p>185</p>	<p>24th Aug 2016</p> <p>I would like to thank the people and families who have contacted me with their petition regarding the proposed closure of the Elliman Resource Centre. I acknowledge that this is a time of change and therefore uncertainty for you. However, I would like to take this opportunity to reassure you that your welfare has and will continue to remain pivotal to the work of the Council of allowing individuals to tailor their own support services to meet their individual needs.</p> <p>We have begun contacting the people using the Elliman Resource Centre and their families with a view to looking at their existing support networks, their interests and how these could be supported either in the community, a building or a combination of both. The thirty- seven people living with their families accessing Elliman will be contacted as part of this work. Some of the people we have already contacted have said that they are accessing activities within the community. However, they welcome the opportunity that the changes will give them to go straight to the activities at a time of their choosing with their own friends rather than having to go to Elliman first. We know that it is important that existing friendship groups that have developed between people using Elliman are maintained and we will make every effort to support this. Safeguarding people whether they remain within a building or move to the community will continue to be a shared responsibility of everyone involved in supporting people with Learning Disabilities including families, support services and the Council.</p> <p>The Council and its partners are investing in new facilities for local residents like the Curve and new leisure facilities. We are keen that people currently using Day Centres are given every opportunity to access all that is available from the local community safely and with support if needed.</p>

<p>16-14 - Traffic Calming Measures – Stoke Garden, Grays Road, Chaucer Way and Queens Road</p>	<p>57</p>	<p>16th Aug 2016 Thank you for your petition submitted to Democratic Services regarding traffic calming measures on Stoke Gardens, Grays Road and Queens Road.</p> <p>The first thing we always do when we receive requests regarding concerns about speeding/traffic calming is to carry out a speed survey to gather data on exactly how fast vehicles are travelling and how many of them are exceeding the speed limit. Introducing traffic calming measures such as speed humps or ramps can be very controversial, therefore before we go any further we need to make sure we can justify and identify the most effective traffic calming measures.</p> <p>We will therefore undertake some speed surveys after the summer holidays so that we will be able to capture the true representation of the traffic situation. The data will include automatic traffic counters (black rubber loops) on the carriageway to check the speed of the vehicles.</p> <p>I hope this information helps, and please do not hesitate to contact us with any further enquiries.</p>
<p>16-15 - Parking Issues on Ragstone Road</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>6th Oct 2016 I am writing to respond to the petition submitted on 8th September, 2016 requesting residents permit parking on Ragstone Road.</p> <p>The Council received a petition in 2012 from a number of residents of Ragstone Road requesting to be considered for residents' parking. In response to this we consulted all the residents of Ragstone Road to see whether this suggestion was supported by the majority of residents. The majority of residents who responded were not in favour of residents' parking, and therefore this was not taken forward.</p> <p>Although this petition has the minimum required signatures, the Authority would not reconsider a request for residents permit parking unless we are satisfied that the majority of residents are in favour of such a scheme, i.e. 60% or more. For this reason unfortunately we are unable to progress this request further at present.</p> <p>I apologise that we could not assist further on this occasion.</p>
<p>16-16 - Stop Social Gathering on Alexandra Road</p>	<p>45</p>	<p>4th Oct 2016 Further to your paper petition regarding the above and our meeting of 30th September, 2016. I am writing to confirm the following actions which were discussed and agreed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Anti-Social Behaviour Co-ordinator will send out Anti-social Behaviour (ASB) incident diaries to all signatories on the petition to get more detailed information, over the course of next six weeks, about exactly what is happening and when • Once in receipt of the completed ASB incident diaries, a residents meeting will be organised to decide the next course of action • A separate meeting will be held with the elders/church leaders of the Roma community to seek

		<p>their views and a way forward. Representatives of Slough Borough Council and Thames Valley Police will attend this meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Police will continue to patrol Alexandra Road (resources permitting) to prevent large groups congregating <p>As mentioned above, ASB incident diaries have been sent out today and I have enclosed one for you to complete and return.</p> <p>Once the ASB diaries have been completed and returned by residents and yourself, I will contact you again in 6-7 weeks giving details of the residents meeting.</p> <p>In the meantime, if you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
16-17 - 160-196 Windsor Road part of Residents Permit Holders Scheme	23	<p>17th Oct 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council is pleased to advise that we can consider this request and it has been placed on our waiting list. The process to implement such schemes is currently under review and therefore we are unable to provide timescales at present, however we must advise that this may be several months.</p> <p>Letters will be sent to affected residents when the scheme has been designed with information about the proposal, and how to make representations before any scheme is implemented. A decision will then be made on how best to proceed based on any feedback.</p>
16-18 - Wellesley Road and Richmond Crescent Resurfacing Alleyway	48	<p>16th Nov 2016 Thank you for your petition submitted on Wednesday 19th October, 2016 regarding the above matter.</p> <p>The alleyway is not an adopted highway and therefore cannot be maintained by Slough Borough Council free of charge.</p> <p>We have undertaken a cost estimate for the resurfacing and pre-cleansing works and the cost will be £15,000. There are 46 properties adjoining the alleyway, therefore the costs of the proposed works will have to be divided and each household would be required to contribute approximately £300.</p> <p>Furthermore it is possible that Slough Borough Council may contribute towards the resurfacing works and this will result in households paying approximately £150 each. Should all residents agree to make payment and once the Council has received the full contribution, then works will be completed very quickly.</p>
16-19 - Residents Parking Only on Elmhurst Road	38	<p>25th Nov 2016 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council is pleased to advise that we can consider this request, and it has been</p>

		<p>placed on our waiting list for projects to be delivered in 2017/18. The process to implement such schemes is currently under review and therefore we are unable to provide timescales at present, but we must advise that the implementation of such schemes can take several months.</p> <p>Once we have allocated a resource to further investigate and design a scheme, letters will be sent to affected residents with information about the proposal. Details of how to make representations before any scheme is implemented will also be provided, and a decision will then be made on how best to proceed based on any feedback received.</p>
16-20 - Parking Issues on Lismore Park	44	<p>5th Jan 2017 Thank you for the submission of the above petition.</p> <p>The pavement parking ban was introduced in this area to protect the pavements, and ensure that they were safe for their primary purpose which is the safe passage of pedestrians, especially vulnerable users. With reference to Grasmere Avenue and Moray Drive, bays were placed half on the pavement on roads where the pavement width was wide enough, and this scheme has only been introduced in the Central Ward and parts of Elliman Ward which were previously in the Central Ward, therefore Moray Drive was not included in this scheme. The pavement parking ban will not be revoked on Lismore Park.</p> <p>The carriageway was left unrestricted to allow for some parking, and we rely on drivers to park considerately as we cannot regulate all parking on the public highway. However as concerns have now been raised about the safe passage of vehicles on the carriageway and the increased traffic to the area, this location is already on our waiting list for the restrictions to be reviewed along with other requests for new and amended restrictions around the borough.</p> <p>When the list is reviewed, we will carry out site visits to assess the area. If a scheme is appropriate and we gain approval to carry out a formal consultation, a public notice will be placed in the local press and displayed on street with details of how to view plans and make representations. Unfortunately we cannot provide timescales at present as we have recently reviewed the process to implement such projects, and must advise that the process to introduce new restrictions can be a lengthy one due to the legal process involved. In the meantime we recommend that any dangerous parking is reported to The Police.</p>
17-01 - Keep Absolutely Ten Pin Open	in borough – 1589 out borough - 525	<p>31st Jan 2017 Following consideration of the above petition at Council meeting on 31st January, 2017 the Council resolved that no further action be taken with regard to the petition.</p>
17-02 - A New Surgery in Colnbrook	303	<p>17th Feb 2017 As this is a National Health Service decision to close the surgery this petition would need to be considered by the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) / National Health Service (NHS).</p> <p>The Council is working with the CCG and NHS England to identify alternative options.</p>

17-03 - Parking Issues – Elmshott Lane & St Andrews Way	374	Response due by – 28 th Mar 2017 Response to follow
17-04 - King Edward Street – Resident Parking Only	40	Response due by – 17 th Apr 2017 Response to follow

ePetition Title	Signatures	Date Responded / Response
One Way System on Chalvey	3	<p>12th Apr 2016 With reference to your Online petition request – One Way System on Chalvey.</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p>
Slough Estates Proposed Parking Order	21	<p>10th May 2016 Thank you for submitting this petition in response to the formal consultation carried out between 26/02/2016 and 18/03/2016 for the proposal to introduce new waiting restrictions on Slough Trading Estate.</p> <p>The scheme was proposed following complaints about vehicles parked inconsiderately around the trading estate, which restrict visibility and access for pedestrians on the pavements and vehicles on the carriageway, especially for larger commercial vehicles which require access to the businesses on this industrial estate. Site checks were carried out when designing the scheme and the congestion is very obvious, therefore the whole estate was reviewed to avoid displaced parking. The restrictions have been proposed mainly on the public highway, and some private roads, and not on any designated parking areas. Whilst residents have had the benefit of parking here, unfortunately this cannot be guaranteed or be relied upon, as carriageways can be restricted at any time if road safety becomes a concern.</p> <p>However, Slough Borough Council has reviewed the original proposal and amended the scheme on Henley Road and Yeovil Road to reach a compromise and retain some parking space, while also maintaining road safety and considering the needs of the businesses.</p> <p>We now have approval to proceed with these restrictions. The Traffic Regulation Order has been sealed and a notice to advertise this was published in the local press 29/07/2016, and an order has been placed to now implement the new restrictions.</p>
Keep Absolutely Ten Pin Open!	2	<p>16th Dec 2016 With reference to your Online petition request – Keep Absolutely Ten Pin Open!</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p>
Double Yellow lines opposite 223 Humber Way	20	<p>14th Feb 2017 I am writing in response to the ePetition that closed 14th February 2017, requesting double yellow lines at the back of your house in Humber Way.</p> <p>Unfortunately the Authority is unable to consider this request; double yellow lines cannot be implemented to protect individual driveways. The correct marking for this is an access bar marking which can be placed at the crossing point, but not on the opposite side of the road. In situations where there are problems exiting a driveway, it is always recommended that you drive out forward on to the road, as this increases visibility and manoeuvrability.</p>

		<p>This petition is not sufficient to support restrictions to cover a larger area to address the other concerns you have raised, as this would affect all residents and the majority of signatories on the petition are not residents of Humber Way. I apologise that we could not assist further on this occasion, and have enclosed an application form for an access bar marking.</p>
--	--	--